Introduction	Upstream issues	Forked kernels' issues	Pros of upstream development	Making testing cheaper
000	00	00		0000

# Linux: Reducing the cost of upstream development to encourage collaboration

Martin Peres

Intel Open Source Technology Center Finland

November 20, 2017

Introduction •००	Upstream issues 00	Forked kernels' issues	Pros of upstream development 00	Making testing cheaper 0000
Summary				

- 2 Upstream issues
- 3 Forked kernels' issues
- 4 Pros of upstream development
- 5 Making testing cheaper

Introduction ○●○	Upstream issues 00	Forked kernels' issues 00	Pros of upstream development	Making testing cheaper 0000
Introduction				
Introdu	ction			

## Linux is everywhere

- Most of the servers/networking equipments;
- 80% of smartphones (Android) and 65% of tablets;
- Entertainment systems (at home, cars, planes, ...);
- Majority of IoT devices.

## World domination?

- No, because all products use outdated kernels!
- Most products actually use forked kernels...

Introduction ○○●	Upstream issues 00	Forked kernels' issues 00	Pros of upstream development 00	Making testing cheaper 0000
Introduction				
Introdu	ction			

### Is that a problem?

Yes, it lowers collaboration and leads to:

- Less features: All features do not get upstreamed/backported;
- Poorer Quality/Security: Less eyes per tree, fixes duplicated.

Introduction 000	Upstream issues ●○	Forked kernels' issues 00	Pros of upstream development 00	Making testing cheaper 0000	
Summary					

- 2 Upstream issues
- 3 Forked kernels' issues
- 4 Pros of upstream development
- 5 Making testing cheaper

Upstream issues

Forked kernels' issues

Pros of upstream development

Making testing cheaper 0000

Why upstream is no good for vendors?

# Upstream from a vendor's perspective

# Objectives of making a product

Get it as good as possible, and as quickly as possible

## Challenges with upstream

- Linux development not product-oriented:
  - Releases not in sync with products;
  - Conflicting objectives: upstream wants generic solutions
- Code sharing between drivers mandated: AMD's DAL/DC;
- Stable user ABIs, no user-visible regressions;
- $\Rightarrow$  Increased dev. cost and Time-To-Market (TTM)

## Forked kernel?

- Full control over the code;
- None of the above challenges!

Introduction 000	Upstream issues 00	Forked kernels' issues ●○	Pros of upstream development	Making testing cheaper
C				



- 2 Upstream issues
- 3 Forked kernels' issues
- 4 Pros of upstream development
- 5 Making testing cheaper

Introduction	Upstream issues	Forked kernels' issues	Pros of upstream development	Making testing cheaper
000	00	○●	00	
Objectives				

# Issues with forked kernel

## What should be done when the next product comes?

- Re-use the previous product's kernel?  $\Rightarrow$  technical debt;
- Rebase changes: can amount to a full re-implementation.

## Challenges with forked kernels

- You don't get to shape the future of Linux:
  - Out-of-tree code is not supported;
  - Risk that internal changes break your features and userspace;
- Maintenance?
  - Automotive products need 10+ years of maintenance;
  - Linux Long-Term Support (LTS) maintained for 2 years;
  - LTS releases only get fixes, no new features;
  - Rebasing generates no revenue.

Introduction 000	Upstream issues 00	Forked kernels' issues 00	Pros of upstream development ●○	Making testing cheaper 0000
C				
Summa	iry			



- 2 Upstream issues
- 3 Forked kernels' issues
- Pros of upstream development
- 5 Making testing cheaper

 Introduction
 Upstream issues
 Forked kernels' issues
 Pros of upstream development
 Making testing cheaper

 000
 00
 00
 00
 000

# Pros of upstream development

### Nice features of upstream development

- Non-regression of the user ABI makes updates easy;
- Never need to rebase: Others improve Linux and your code;

#### Problem: Testing isn't free!

- Unless constantly tested, a feature gets accidentally broken;
- Without continuous testing, updating isn't free!

Introduction 000	Upstream issues 00	Forked kernels' issues	Pros of upstream development 00	Making testing cheaper ●○○○	
Summary					

- 2 Upstream issues
- 3 Forked kernels' issues
- 4 Pros of upstream development
- 5 Making testing cheaper

 Introduction
 Upstream issues
 Forked kernels' issues
 Pros of upstream development
 Making testing cheaper

 000
 00
 00
 00
 00

# How to make testing cheaper?

## Reducing manual testing to 0

- Pre-merge testing is the best way to prevent regressions;
- Linux accepts about 8 changes per hour, in average;
- $\Rightarrow$  all testing needs to be automated!

#### Problems with automated testing

- The full product needs to be tested;
- Requires system-level testing;
- $\Rightarrow$  Need for better HW-assisted test suites!

 Introduction
 Upstream issues
 Forked kernels' issues
 Pros of upstream development
 Making testing cheaper

 000
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00

# How to make testing cheaper?

## Example of full product testing: Project trebble

- Android 8 de-couples the UI from the vendor-provided system;
- The vendor interface is fully unit tested;
- $\Rightarrow$  could be used for continuous integration!

#### What can we do on our side?

• Lead by example: provide regression free graphics!

Introduction Upstream issues Forked kernels' issues Pros of upstream oo oo oo oo oo Objectives

Pros of upstream development 00

Making testing cheaper  $000 \bullet$ 

# How to provide regression-free graphics?

## Many dependencies

- Improve the coverage of Open Source test suites to test:
  - all graphic-related features of the kernel;
  - all drivers.
- Validation HW:
  - Chamelium everywhere for testing DP/HDMI/VGA and sound
- CI platform:
  - running the relevant test suites on all drivers;
  - decentralized so as everyone can add platforms;
  - developped and maintained by everyone;
  - Controller instance hosted on fd.o?